<u>MEMORANDUM</u>

September 20, 2011

- To: Members of the Board of Trustees
- From: Pedro A. Noguera, Chair, Education, College Readiness and Success Committee
- Subject: Approval of Proposal to Establish the Canarsie Ascend Charter School (Brooklyn)

Action Requested

The proposed resolution authorizes the granting of a new charter to the proposed Canarsie Ascend Charter School pursuant to Education Law subdivision 2852(9-a).

Resolution

I recommend that the Board of Trustees adopt the following resolution:

<u>Whereas</u> the Charter Schools Institute (the "Institute"), acting on behalf of the Board of Trustees and in accordance with the New York Charter Schools Act of 1998 (as amended, the "Act"), issued a request for proposals ("RFP") to establish new charter schools on January 3, 2011, in response to which Canarsie Ascend Charter School, to be located in Brooklyn, submitted a proposal that the Institute reviewed, scored and ranked, and recommends for approval (the "Recommended Proposal") as set forth in the Institute's Summary of Findings and Recommendations report for the proposed school (copy on file in the Office of the Secretary of the University and in the Albany office of the Institute), which was made available to the Board; now, therefore, be it <u>Resolved</u> that the Recommended Proposal rigorously demonstrates that the Proposal has met the following criteria: (1) the proposed charter school would meet or exceed enrollment and retention targets, to be prescribed by the Board of Trustees, of students with disabilities, English language learners, and students who are eligible applicants for the federal free and reduced price lunch program; and (2) that the applicant has conducted public outreach, in conformity with a thorough and meaningful public review process prescribed by the Board of Trustees, to solicit community input regarding the proposed charter school and to address comments received from the impacted community concerning the educational and programmatic needs of students; and, be it further

<u>Resolved</u> that the Board of Trustees has granted priority to the Recommended Proposal based on a scoring rubric that best demonstrates how proposed schools will achieve the objectives set forth in Education Law subdivision 2852(9a)(c); and, be it further

<u>Resolved</u> that the Recommended Proposal and the school described therein meet the requirements of the Act (as amended) and all other applicable laws, rules and regulations; and, be it further

<u>Resolved</u> that the applicant submitting the Recommended Proposal and the founding team described therein demonstrate the ability to operate the proposed school in an educationally and fiscally sound manner; and, be it further

<u>Resolved</u> that approving the Recommended Proposal is likely to improve student learning and achievement and materially further the purposes set out in Education Law subdivision 2850(2); and, be it further

<u>Resolved</u> that the Recommended Proposal be, and hereby is, approved; and, be it further

<u>Resolved</u> that the Institute be, and hereby is, directed to: (1) enter into a proposed charter with the applicant of the Recommended Proposal, which shall include such assurances and terms as the Institute shall deem necessary and appropriate; and (2) thereafter to submit such proposed charter no later than November 1, 2011 as required by the Act to the Board of Regents for issuance by the Board of Regents on or before December 31, 2011.

Background

Amendments to the New York Charter Schools Act of 1998 (as amended, the "Act"), passed on May 28, 2010, increased the cap on the number of charter schools to be formed in New York by adding a new class of charters that must be issued through requests for proposals ("RFPs"). Only the Board of Trustees and the Board of Regents may issue RFPs with each entity allowed to approve 130 new charters, only 57 of which may be located in New York City. Prior to approval of this resolution the Board of Trustees has approved 16 proposals for schools to be located in New York City; leaving 114 charters to be issued through the RFP process with 41 to be located in New York City. RFPs may only commence on certain dates, each with a maximum number of charters set by statute. The RFP for the proposal to be approved by this resolution was posted on January 3, 2011 (the first business day after January 1st) and the maximum number of charters the Board of Trustees may still approve pursuant to the RFP is 49 (33 minus 14 already issued plus 30 remaining from the August 2010 RFP).

The Charter Schools Institute (the "Institute") released and broadly distributed a draft RFP for public comment on November 16, 2010, held a public meeting in three locations across the state to solicit verbal comments on November 29, 2010, carefully reviewed all comments amending the draft RFP as appropriate, and posted a document detailing its evaluation and response to public comments on January 3, 2011. The proposal to be approved by this resolution was received by the Institute on or about February 28, 2011, reviewed, scored in accordance with a rubric required to be developed by Education Law subdivision 2852(9-a), and ranked.

Prior to recommending the proposed school for approval, the Institute, acting on behalf of the Board of Trustees, conducted a rigorous review of the proposal and published its findings and recommendations in a Summary of Findings and Recommendations (copy on file in the Office of the Secretary of the University and in the Albany office of the Institute), which was made available to the Board of Trustees. In addition to meeting all of the application requirements for non-RFP charters, the amended Act requires additional RFP findings that are reflected in this resolution.

For the proposal listed above, the Institute, pursuant to Education Law subdivision 2857(1), notified the school district in which the charter school is proposed to be located regarding the receipt of a proposal to establish a school (copy on file in the Albany office of the Institute). As of August 30, 2011, no comments in response had been received by the Board of Trustees.