The State Education Department

The University of the State of New York

Office of Instructional Support and Development

Public School Choice Programs 462 EBA Albany, New York 12234 518-474-1762

Charter School Annual Report 2008 - 2009

Charter School Information and Cover Page

Name of Charter School Girls Preparatory Charter School
Address 442 E Houston St, Rm. 312
New York, New York 10002
Telephone (212) 388-0241 Fax (212) 388-1086
BEDS #310100860866
District/CSD of Location1
Charter Entity: Charter Schools Institute
Head of School (Contact Person) Miriam Raccah
E-mail address of contact person
President, Board of Trustees Sarah Robertson
E-mail address and Phone Number of Board President

Student Assessment Data New York State Assessment Results Grades 3 – 8 ELA and Math 2008-09 Annual Report

Name of Charter School: __Girls Preparatory Charter School of New York

Grades 3 - 8 State ELA Assessments Results

Year of		Gra	ade 3			Gra	ade 4			Gra	de 5			Gra	de 6			Gra	de 7			Gra	de 8	
Test 2008-09 2007-08 2006-07 2005-06	L1 0% 0%	L2 2% 20%	L3 84% 77%	L4 14% 3%	L1 0%			L4 8%	L1	L2	L3	L4												

Grades 3 - 8 State Math Assessments Results

Year of		Gr	ade 3			Gr	ade 4			Gra	de 5			Gra	de 6			Gra	de 7			Gra	de 8	
Test	L1	L2	L3	L4	L1	L2	L3	L4	L1	L2	L3	L4	L1	L2	L3	L4	L1	L2	L3	L4	L1	L2	L3	L4
2008-09	0%	0%	64%	36%	0%	0%	56%																	
2007-08	0%	0%	77%	23%																				
2006-07																								
2005-06																								

Other Student Assessment Data 2008-09

	Name of Charter School	l: Girls Preparatory Charter School of New York	
Name of Test:	_Terra Nova****	Subtest:	

Grade	Date of Test (DOT)	# Enrolled in Grade on DOT	# Absent in Grade on DOT	# Exempted in Grade by IEP	# Exempted in Grade by ELL Status	# Students Assessed in Grade*	Score (Indicate Type of Score, e.g., NCE)	Qualitative Level and Percent Attaining*	Other ***
3-Reading	June 2008	30	0	0	0	30	Grade Equivalency=3.9	77%	NA
3-Math	June 2008	30	0	0	0	30	Grade Equivalency=3.9	77%	NA
2-Reading	June 2008	50	1	0	0	49	Grade Equivalency=2.9	55%	NA
2-Math	June 2008	50	1	0	0	49	Grade Equivalency=2.9	67%	NA
1-Reading	June 2008	46	0	0	0	46	Grade Equivalency=1.9	52%	NA
1-Math	June 2008	46	0	0	0	46	Grade Equivalency=1.9	72%	NA
K-Reading	June 2008	48	0	0	0	48	Grade Equivalency=.9	75%	NA
K-Math	June 2008	48	0	0	0	48	Grade Equivalency=.9	79%	NA

^{*} This number should equal the number of students enrolled on the day of the test, minus the number absent and the number exempted by either their IEP or their ELL status.

^{**}If the assessment provides qualitative levels of achievement, e.g., "with honors," indicate the applicable levels and the percent of students who took the test in each grade who attained each level. If not applicable, enter "NA."

* For any other evaluative data that describe the performance of your students on the assessments given. If not applicable, enter "NA."
**At the time of the preparation of this report, the results of the 2008-2009 Terra Nova exam were not available. This report contains the 2007-2008 test sults, the most recent data available.



Girls Preparatory Charter School of New York

Accountability Plan

Charter Period 2005 -- 2009

May 2006

ACADEMIC GOALS

English Language Arts

Goal I: <u>Students will become proficient readers of the English language.</u>

Measure 1 (Absolute): Student performance on the New York State ELA Exams.

For the 2007-08 through 2008-09 school years, 75 percent of third through fifth graders who are enrolled in at least their second year at GPCS will perform at or above Level 3 on the New York State English Language Arts (ELA) examination.

Each year, the school's aggregate Performance Index on the State ELA exam will meet its Annual Measurable Objective set forth in the State's No Child Left Behind (NCLB) accountability system.

Measure 2 (Optional): Student Performance on E-CLAS-2

- 1. For the 2005-06 through 2008-09 school years, 75% of kindergarten students will perform at or above Level 2 on all relevant sub-tests for the spring administration of ECLAS2.
- 2. For the 2005-06 through 2008-09 school years, 75% of first grade students will perform at or above Level 4 on all relevant sub-tests for the spring administration of ECLAS2
- 3. For the 2006-07 through 2008-09 school years, 75% of second grade students will perform at or above Level 6 on all relevant sub-tests for the spring administration of ECLAS2.

<u>Measure 3 (Comparative): Student performance as compared to students in similar schools and home districts on NYS ELA exams.</u>

Each year, the percent of students who are enrolled in at least their second year at GPCS and performing at or above Level 3 on the State ELA exam in each tested grade will be greater than that of Community School District 1.

Each year the school will exceed its expected level of performance on the State ELA exam by at least a small Effect Size (performing higher than expected to small degree) according to a regression analysis controlling for students eligible for free lunch among all public schools in New York State..

Measure 4 (Optional): Student proficiency on NCE for the Iowa Test of Basic Skills

For the 2006-07 through 2008-09 school years, grade-level cohorts of students will reduce by one half the gap between their average NCE in the previous spring on the Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS), a nationally-normed reading test, and an NCE of 50 (i.e., grade level) in the current spring. If a grade-level cohort exceeds an NCE of 50 in the previous year, the cohort is expected to show at least some increase in the current year.

Measure 4a: Student gains on New York State ELA Exam

For the 2008-09 school year, each grade-level cohort of students will reduce by one-half the gap between the percent at or above Level 3 on the previous year's State ELA exam and 75 percent at or above Level 3 on the current year's State ELA exam. If a grade-level cohort exceeds 75 percent at or above Level 3 in the previous year, the cohort is expected to show at least some increase in the current year.

Mathematics

Goal II: GPCS students will demonstrate steady progress in the understanding and application of mathematical skills and concepts.

Measure 1 (Absolute): Student performance on the New York State Math Exams.

For the 2007 – 08 through 2008-09 school year, 75 percent of third through fifth graders who are enrolled in at least their second year will perform at or above Level 3 on the New York State Mathematics examination.

Each year, the school's aggregate Performance Index on the State mathematics exam will meet its Annual Measurable Objective set forth in the State's No Child Left Behind (NCLB) accountability system.

<u>Measure 2 (Comparative): Student performance as compared to students in similar schools and home districts on the NYS Math exam.</u>

Each year, the percent of students who are enrolled in at least their second year at GPCS, and performing at or above Level 3 on the State Mathematics exam in each tested grade will be greater than that of Community School District 1.

Each year, the school will exceed its expected level of performance on the State ELA exam by at least a small Effect Size (performing higher than expected to small degree) according to a regression analysis controlling for students eligible for free lunch among all public schools in New York State.

<u>Measure 3 (Optional Value-Added): Student proficiency on NCE for the Iowa Test of Basic Skills</u>

Optional Outcome Measure

For the 2006-07 and 2008-2009 school years, grade-level cohorts of students will reduce by one half the gap between their average NCE in the previous spring on the Iowa Test of Basic Skills (ITBS), a nationally-normed mathematics test, and an NCE of 50 (i.e., grade level) in the current spring. If a grade-level cohort exceeds an NCE of 50 in the previous year, the cohort is expected to show at least some increase in the current year.

Measure 3b: Student proficiency on NCE for the Iowa Test of Basic Skills -- same

Required Outcome Measure

For the 2008-09 school year, each grade-level cohort of students will reduce by one-half the gap between the percent at or above Level 3 on the previous year's State Mathematics exam and 75 percent at or above Level 3 on the current year's State mathematics exam. If a grade-level cohort exceeds 75 percent at or above Level 3 in the previous year, the cohort is expected to show at least some increase in the current year

Goal III: GPCS students will demonstrate proficiency relevant to achievement in science

Measure 1(Absolute): Student performance on the NYS Science Examination

For the 2008-09 school year, 75 percent of fourth graders who are enrolled in at least their second year at GPCS will perform at or above Level 3 on the New York Science examination.

<u>Measure 2 (Comparative): Student performance as compared to students in similar schools and home districts on the NYS Science exam.</u>

Each year, the percent of students who are enrolled in at least their second year at GPCS and performing at or above Level 3 on the State Science exam in each tested grade, will be greater than that of Community School District 1.

Additional Required Academic Measure

Under the state's NCLB accountability system, the school's Accountability Status will be "Good Standing" each year.

ORGANIZATIONAL GOALS

Parent and Student Satisfaction

Goal V: Parents will express high satisfaction with the school.

Each year, parents will express satisfaction with the school's program, based on the school's Parent Survey in which at least two-thirds of *all* parents provide a positive response to the survey items.

_

¹ All parents include those who do not respond to the survey.

GIRLS PREPARATORY CHARTER SCHOOL OF NEW YORK

2008-09 ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN PROGRESS REPORT

Submitted to the SUNY Charter Schools Institute on:

July 21, 2009

By Miriam Raccah

442 E Houston St. New York, NY 10002 Phone Fax

Miriam L. Raccah prepared this 2008-09 Accountability Progress Report on behalf of the school's Board of Trustees:

Trustee's Name Board Position

Sarah Robertson Chair Mary Mitchell Vice Chair Nicole Pullen Ross Treasurer Bryan Lawrence Member Lauren Frank Member Kay Miller Laura Weil Member Member Kim Richardson Member Mary Claire Ryan Member Paul Vermylen Member

INTRODUCTION

Founded in 2005, Girls Preparatory Charter School is the first all-girls charter school in New York City. In a single-gender environment that nurtures the gifts of every child, Girls Prep offers students a high-caliber "neo-traditional" education and the high expectations for excellence that they need to become successful in high school, college and beyond. Having just completed the fifth year of our initial charter term, our application for a renewal charter has given us the opportunity to reflect on the successes of our program. This year, we collected our second year of testing data after our third and fourth grade students took the New York State ELA and Math exams. We also graduated our first cohort of students who will all attend our Middle School academy². We are confident from their outstanding results and their obvious commitment to our school, that Girls Prep has developed a school culture, core curriculum and systems to support increasingly high levels of growth and achievement among our students.

This year we had 215 students in kindergarten through fourth grade. 67% of our students are eligible for free and reduced priced lunch and they come from all five boroughs of New York City.

School Enrollment by Grade Level and School Year

School Year	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
2005-06	34	20												54
2006-07	33	49	30											112
2007-08	48	46	50	30										174
2008-09	47	51	41	44	25									215

-

² The opening of the Girls Preparatory Charter School of New York Middle School academy, scheduled for August 2009 is subject to the approval by the Charter School Institute of our application for charter renewal.

ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

Goal 1: English Language Arts

Students will become proficient readers of the English Language

Background

Background

The English Language Arts curriculum at the Girls Preparatory Charter School of New York is designed to ensure that students become fully literate and learn to read, write, and speak well. Girls Prep teachers use a balanced literacy framework to help students learn to read and ensure that all students exceed New York State standards. Components of teaching reading at the elementary school level include:

- Decoding through Phonics/Phonemic Awareness and High Frequency Words
- Read-Alouds
- Guided Reading
- Independent Reading

Reading instruction takes place throughout the day in all subject areas. Every day each student receives 45 minutes of small group instruction during Reading Group which includes word study and language concept study, and guided reading. During small group instruction, half the class attends art or music while the other half of the class remains in the classroom and is divided into groups taught by the Lead Teacher, Fellow and Special Education Coordinator. The student/teacher ratio during Reading Group is approximately 6:1. Groups are arranged by reading level and, in the older grades, students travel between classes for a group that perfectly matches their ability level. In grades K-1 this time is spent teaching students about phonics, as well as developing indispensible skills involving phonemic awareness, reading strategies, decoding, and comprehension. In grades 2-3 some time is spent reviewing phonics rules, reading strategies, and decoding; however, the main focus shifts to reading for meaning, developing comprehension skills, and discussing and evaluating literature.

As reading ability is central to student performance in all subjects in high school and college, developing this fundamental skill early determines the ultimate academic success of our students. In order to introduce and solidify these skills, each Girls Prep class is taught by two teachers in grades K-2, ensuring that the student-to-teacher ratio never exceeds 12:1. In grades 3 and 4, Fellows are shared between the two grade level classes. Furthermore Girls Prep administers several different commercial assessments throughout the year in order to assess students' abilities in several different areas: Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literary Skills (DIBELS), Rigby Literacy, and the annual TerraNova exam. Girls Prep also administers teacher-generated interim assessments 4-5 times throughout the academic year to monitor students' progress. Moreover, Girls Prep employs two Curriculum Specialists, one for Matheamtics and Science and one for Literacy, as well as a Special Education Coordinator to help develop and lead extensive and intensive professional development to ensure that all of Girls Prep's English Language Arts Goals are met. Our Literacy Curriculum Specialist, currently Sara Getman, works with the teachers and school administrators to create a rigorous curriculum that incorporates a variety of learning styles and is sure to push our students to realize their potential. She also helps review

the teachers' lesson plans and provide them with any helpful feedback they might need. This evaluative process has also lead to a small amount of changes in the structure and content of the English Language Arts curriculum. Our initial charter states that we will use the *Open Court* program to teach phonics and phonemic awareness. We now use a program entitled Recipe for Reading to teach these skills as we have found that the Recipe program better isolates these skills for instruction. Moreover we have supplemented our reading curriculum with programs that further student development in particular areas; for example, we use Text Talk to teach vocabulary and Lit Life to supplement our writing instruction. We also ensure that our curriculum is aligned with New York State standards by utilizing programs such as Lit Life and Recipe for Reading. Please refer to Question 4a for a description of these changes.

Goal 1: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year will perform at or above Level 3 on the New York State English language arts examination.

Method

The school administered the New York State Testing Program English language arts assessment to students in third through fourth grade in January 2009. Each student's raw score has been converted to a grade-specific scaled score and a performance level and. The criterion for success on this measure requires students who have been enrolled in at least their second year (defined as enrolled by BEDS day of the previous school year) to score at Levels 3 or 4.

The table below summarizes participation information for this year's test administration. The table indicates total enrollment and total number of students tested. It also provides a detailed breakdown of those students excluded from the exam. Note that this table includes all students according to grade level, even if they have been enrolled for less than one year.

2008-09 State English Language Arts Exam Number of Students Tested and Not Tested

Grade	Total	1	Not Tested	l^3	Total
Grade	Tested	IEP	ELL	Absent	Enrolled
3	44	0	0	0	44
4	25	0	0	0	25
5					
6					
7					
8					
All	69	0	0	0	69

Results

All of our 3rd and 4th grade students took the state test in January 2009 and all had been at Girls Prep for at least one full year at the time of the assessment. In AY 2008-2009 98% of our third

³ Students exempted from this exam according to their Individualized Education Program (IEP), because of English Language Learners (ELL) status, or absence for at least some part of the exam.

grade performed at Levels 3 or level 4 and 92% of the fourth grade class performed at Level 3 or Level 4.

Charter School Performance on 2008-09 State English Language Arts Exam By All Students and Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year

Grade	Population		Percent at	Each Perfo	rmance Lev	el	Number
Grade	r opulation	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 3/4	Tested
	All Students	0	2	84	14	98	44
3	Students in At Least 2 nd Year	0	2	84	14	<u>98</u>	44
	All Students	0	8	84	8	92	25
4	Students in At Least 2 nd Year	0	8	84	8	<u>92</u>	25
5	All Students						
3	Students in At Least 2 nd Year						-
6	All Students						
0	Students in At Least 2 nd Year						
7	All Students						
/	Students in At Least 2 nd Year						
8	All Students						
	Students in At Least 2 nd Year						
	All Students	0	4	84	12	96	69
All	Students in At Least 2 nd Year	0	4	84	12	<u>96</u>	69

Evaluation

We clearly exceeded the goal by 21% in AY 2008-2009. This year 67% of our school was classified as "economically disadvantaged" and 97% are members of an ethnic minority group. Despite these apparent disadvantages, our students still outperformed their peers to an astounding degree. We are confident that these results reflect the rigor and balance of our reading program, especially the fact that our students spend 45 minutes in small group instruction each day.

Additional Evidence

In this, our second year of New York State testing, our students improved upon their high level of performance. In AY 2007-2008 80% of our third graders performed at or above level 3. This year 92% of this same cohort of students scored at or above level 3. Moreover, 98% of this year's third graders scored at or above level 3 on the exam. We believe that our continued commitment to the development of our English Language Arts curriculum has resulted in the impressive performance of our students. The literacy periods we have scheduled into each day, as well as the focus on both guided and independent reading and comprehension teach our students how to tackle the complex nuances within literature.

English Language Arts Performance by Grade Level and School Year

	Percen	t of Studen	ts Enrolle	d in At Lea	st Their Se	econd Year	at Levels	3 and 4
Grade	200	5-06	200	6-07	200	7-08	200	8-09
Grade	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested
3					80	30	98	44
4							92	25
5								
6								
7								
8								
All					80	30	96	69

Goal 1: Absolute Measure

Each year, the school's aggregate Performance Index (PI) on the State English language arts exam will meet the Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.

Method

The federal No Child Left Behind law holds schools accountable for making annual yearly progress towards all students being proficient by the year 2013-14. As a result, the state sets an Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) each year to determine if schools are making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will ultimately be proficient in the state's learning standards in English Language Arts. To achieve this measure, all tested students must have a Performance Index (PI) value that equals or exceeds this year's English language arts AMO, which for 2008-09 is 144. The PI is calculated by adding the sum of the percent of all tested students at Levels 2 through 4 with the sum of the percent of all tested students at Levels 3 and 4. Thus, the highest possible PI is 200.

Results

Our goal is to have Girls Prep's aggregate Performance Index (PI) equal or exceed the English Language Arts Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) each year. The chart below shows how Girls Prep's aggregate PI compared to our AMO for AY 2008-2009. 96% of our students in the third and fourth grade scored at or above level 3 on the exam, and none of our students performed at level 1.

Calculation of 2008-09 English Language Arts Performance Index (PI)

Grades	Per	cent of Students a	t Each Performan	ce Level	Number
Grades	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Tested
3-4	0	4	84	12	69

PΙ	=	4	+	84	+	12	=	100
			+	84	+	12	=	96
						PΤ	=	196

Evaluation

Our PI was 52 points greater than the AMO of 144, thereby exceeding this measure. We believe these results attest to the effectiveness of the Girls Prep English language arts curriculum.

Additional Evidence

This year our PI was much higher than the PI of AY 2007-2008, a difference of sixteen points. In neither year did any of our students perform at level 1. Furthermore, we decreased the percentage of students performing at level 2 by 75%.

English Language Arts Performance Index (PI) and Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) by School Year

Year	Grades ⁴	Number	Percent of	f Students at I	Each Perform	ance Level	PI	AMO
	Tested	Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	PI	
2005-06	N/A							122
2006-07	N/A							122
2007-08	3	30	0	20	77	3	180	133
2008-09	3-4	69	0	4	84	12	196	144

Goal 1: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year and performing at or above Level 3 on the state English language arts exam will be greater than that of all students in the same tested grades in the local school district.

Method

Tested students who were enrolled in at least their second year are compared to all tested students in the surrounding public school district. Comparisons are between the results for each grade in which the school had tested students and the results for the respective grades in the local school district, as well as between the total result of students in at least their second year at the school and the total result for all students at the corresponding grades in the school district.

Results

All of the students tested have been enrolled at Girls Prep for at least one year. As the chart below states 96% of our students scored a Level 3 or 4. Of the 1742 tested students from District 1, 70% performed at Level 3 or 4.

⁴ Beginning in 2005-06 the state administered tests in grades 3-8 and a single AMO was set for the aggregate PI of all tested students in those grades.

2008-09 State English Language Arts Exam Charter School and District Performance by Grade Level

	Percent of Students at Levels 3 and 4					
Grade	Charter Scholar In At Leas	ool Students st 2 nd Year	All District Students			
	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested		
3	98	98 44		901		
4	92	25	69.5	841		
5						
6						
7						
8						
All	<u>96</u>	69	<u>70</u>	1742		

Evaluation

In AY 2008-2009 the percentage of all tested Girls Prep students enrolled in at least their second year and performing at or above Level 3 on the state English Language Arts Exam is greater than that of all students in the same tested grades in the local school district, District 1.

In AY 2008-2009, our second year of testing, Girls Prep third and fourth graders continued excelling, with even more impressive results. This past school year 98% of third grade students and 92% of fourth grade students scored either Level 3 or Level 4. A smaller number of their colleagues in other District 1 elementary schools (70.5% for third grade and 69.5% for fourth grade) achieved the same score. We therefore exceeded the District 1 averages by 27.5 and 22.5 percentage points in grades three and four, respectively. Overall, our third and fourth grade students out performed District 1 students by twenty-six percentage points. 96% of our third and fourth grade scored at or above level 3 while 70% of District 1 students performed at the same level. We believe that these results indicate the effectiveness of the Girls Prep model which emphasizes the importance of early literary skills.

Additional Evidence

Girls Prep administered the New York State English language arts exam for the past two academic years. In both years Girls Prep out performed the local district, District 1. In AY 2007-2008, Girls Prep outperformed the local district by 18.7 percentage points while in AY 2008-2009 we outperformed the district by twenty-six percentage points. The following tables compare Girls Prep's performance to that of our local district as well as to two neighboring schools, P.S. 15 and P.S. 188.

English Language Performance of Charter School and Local District by Grade Level and School Year

	Percent of Charter School Students at Levels 3 and 4 and Enrolled in At Least their Second Compared to Local District Students							ond Year
Grade	200	5-06	200	6-07	2007-08		2008-09	
	Charter	Local	Charter	Local	Charter	Local	Charter	Local
	School	District	School	District	School	District	School	District
3					80	61.3	98	70.5
4							92	69.5
5								
6								
7								
8								
All					80	61.3	96	70

2008-09 English Language Arts Performance of Girls Preparatory Charter School and Comparison Schools by Grade Level

Percent of Charter School Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year and All Students in									
			Comparison S	chools					
Girls	Prep		PS 15		PS 18	38			
Grade	% level	Numbe	er % level 3	Number	% level 3 or	Number			
	3 or 4	Tested	l or 4	Tested	4	Tested			
3	98	44	39.4	33	50	42			
4	4 92 25 36.8 38 76 25								
All	96	69	38	71	60	67			

Goal 1: Comparative Measure

Each year, the school will exceed its predicted level of performance on the state English language arts exam by at least a small Effect Size (performing higher than expected to a small degree) according to a regression analysis controlling for students eligible for free lunch among all public schools in New York State.

Method

The Charter Schools Institute conducts a Comparative Performance Analysis, which compares the school's performance to demographically similar public schools state-wide. Regression analysis is used to control for the percentage of students eligible for free lunch among all public schools in New York State. The school's actual performance is then compared to the predicted performance of public schools with a similar free lunch percentage. The difference between the school's actual and predicted performance, relative to other schools with similar free lunch statistics, produces an Effect Size. An Effect Size of 0.3 is considered performing higher than

expected to a small degree, which is the requirement for achieving this measure. If 2008-09 results are not available, add this sentence: Given the timing of the state's release of poverty data, the 2008-09 analysis is not yet available. This report contains 2007-08 results, the most recent ones available.

Results

Given the timing of the state's release of poverty data, the 2008-09 analysis is not yet available. This report contains 2007-08 results, the most recent ones available. In AY 2007-2008 Girls Prep administered New York State Assessments to 30 students in the third grade. We outperformed our predicted percentage of students at or above level 3 to "a large degree."

2007-08 English Language Arts Comparative Performance by Grade Level

Grade	Percent Eligible for Free Lunch	Number Tested		of Students vels 3&4	Difference between Actual - and Predicted	Effect Size	
	rice Lunch		Actual	Predicted	and Fredicted		
3		30	80	65.26	14.74	1.14	
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
All	52.54	30	80	65.26	14.74	1.14	

School's Overall Comparative Performance:	
Higher than expected to a large degree.	

Evaluation

Given that our Effect Size for AY 2007-2008 was 1.14 we met this measure, exceeding the required Effect Size of .3 by .84 units.

Additional Evidence

Since AY 2007-2008 was the first year in which Girls Prep administered New York State assessments, we have no additional evidence to present at this time.

Goal 1: Growth Measure

Each year, each grade-level cohort will reduce by one-half the gap between the percent at or above Level 3 on the previous year's state English language arts exam and 75 percent at or above Level 3 on the current year's state English language arts exam. If a grade-level cohort exceeds 75 percent at or above Level 3 in the previous year, that cohort is expected to show at least an increase in the current year.

Method

This measure examines the change in performance of the same group of students from one year to the next and the progress they are making towards the absolute measure of 75 percent proficient. Each grade level cohort consists of those students who took the state exam in 2008-09 and also have a state exam score in 2007-08. It includes students who repeated the grade. Students who repeated the grade should be included in their current grade level cohort, not the cohort to which they previously belonged. The criterion for achieving this measure is for each grade-level cohort to halve the difference between the percentage of students proficient in 2006-07 and 75 percent proficient in 2008-09. If a cohort had already achieved 75 percent proficient in 2007-08, it is expected to show some positive growth in the subsequent year. In addition, the aggregate of all cohorts is examined to determine the growth of all students who took a state exam in both years.

Results

In AY 2008-2009 Girls Prep had only one cohort to which this measure was applicable. Since more than 75% of students scored level 3 or higher in AY 2007-2008, this year our goal was to increase the percentage of students who achieved the same score. This cohort of 25 students increased its percentage of students at or above level 3 on the New York State ELA exam by twelve percentage points in AY 2008-2009.

Cohort Growth on State English Language Arts Exam from 2007-08 to 2008-09

Grade	Cohort		ent at Levels 3		Target
Grade	Size	2007-08	Target	2008-09	Achieved
4	25	80	Positive Growth	92	Yes
5					
6					
7					
8					
All	25	80	Positive	92	Yes
All	23	80	Growth	92	168

Evaluation

In the first year of testing, AY 2007-2008, 80% of Girls Prep third graders scored at or above Level 3. This year, these same students, now fourth graders, needed to improve upon this percentage in order to achieve this measure. In AY 2008-2009 92% of this cohort scored at or above Level 3 on the exam. We increased the percentage of students at or above level 3 by twelve percentage points; this measure was thus met. (N.B. Currently, the students who were in the fourth grade during AY 2008-2009 comprise the only cohort to which this measure is applicable.)

Additional Evidence

This was our first year having a cohort of students for whom two years of New York State testing data was available. This cohort met its goal of increasing the percentage of students who performed at or above level 3 on the New York State ELA exam.

Cohort Performance on State English Language Arts Exam Since the Advent of the Grades 3-8 Testing Program by School Year

School Year	Cohort Grades	Number of Cohorts Meeting Target	Number of Cohorts
2006-07			
2007-08			
2008-09	3-4	1	1

Summary of the English Language Arts Goal

This is the second year that Girls Preparatory Charter School of New York has had third graders take the State ELA exam and the first year that we had fourth graders take the exam. We are very pleased with the performance of our students on this assessment. We exceeded our goal in the Absolute Measure of 75% performing above Level 3 and 4. Our Performance Index was significantly higher than the Annual Measurable Objective and our students performed substantially above students in the same tested grades in all local school districts and the two schools most proximate to our school. We believe that these results reflect the effectiveness of our English language arts curriculum which places the primary focus on the development of basic literacy and comprehension skills. The chart below outlines each of our aforementioned goals and states whether or not they were achieved.

Type	Measure	Outcome
Absolute	Each year, 75 percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year will perform at or above Level 3 on	Achieved
Absolute	the New York State examination. Each year, the school's aggregate Performance Index (PI) on the State exam will meet the Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.	Achieved
Comparative	Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year and performing at or above Level 3 on the State exam will be greater than that of all students in the same tested grades in the local school district.	Achieved
Comparative	Each year, the school will exceed its predicted level of performance on the State exam by at least a small Effect Size.	Achieved
Growth	Each year, each grade-level cohort will reduce by one-half the gap between the percent at or above Level 3 on the previous year's State exam and 75 percent at or above Level 3 on the current year's State exam. If a grade-level cohort exceeds 75 percent at or above Level 3 in the previous year, that cohort is expected to show at least an increase in the current year.	Achieved

Action Plan

There will be challenges to maintaining this level of performance next year. The fourth grade cohort next year is much larger at 44 students. There are also more students with Individual Educational Plans (IEP) in that cohort. We have been working with these students, many since Kindergarten, and know their challenges well. During our Professional Development meetings this summer, we will work to tailor our curricula at each grade level, taking into account the successes we experienced this year. Moreover, we plan to continue to emphasize the importance of mastering literacy skills early within our daily literacy instructional periods and our independent reading groups. The key to ensuring our student's success is in staying true to the State standards and ensuring that all of our students master each of the standards prior to the assessments.

MATHEMATICS

Goal 2: Mathematics

GPCS students will demonstrate steady progress in the understanding and application of mathematical skills and concepts.

Background

The framework of Girls Prep's math curriculum is Saxon Math, a research-based curriculum. Girls Prep's math program is supplemented by Exemplars, which is a problem-solving program to be used twice each month, and Stern, which is a manipulative-based number sense and arithmetic program. The math curriculum emphasizes:

- Automaticity
- Computation
- Problem Solving
- Math Language and Communication

Girls Prep's math instruction includes a morning meeting each day, as well as a math lesson each day. There is one additional math period each week that is used for review and/or extension. Girls Prep follows the Saxon sequence of learning objectives which is aligned to State standards. Grade level teams enrich Saxon's script through interactive games, centers, and activities. Data-driven differentiation through guided groups, centers, and other models is essential in reaching the needs of all students. Teachers also strive to dig deep with questioning, encouraging students to explain their ideas, and developing math vocabulary and writing.

This year was the second in which we had a Math Specialist working with our teachers. Jessica Willis holds the responsibility of ensuring that all of our math instruction was coordinated, rigorous, aligned to State standards, and driven by student data. Additionally, we have an AUSSIE consultant who worked with Ms. Willis and the teachers this year and last.

Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year will perform at or above Level 3 on the New York State mathematics examination.

Method

The school administered the New York State Testing Program mathematics assessment to students in 3rd through 4th grade in March 2009. Each student's raw score has been converted to a performance level and a grade-specific scaled score. The criterion for success on this measure requires students who have been enrolled in at least their second year (defined as enrolled by BEDS day of the previous school year) to score at Levels 3 or 4.

The table below summarizes participation information for this year's test administration. The table indicates total enrollment and total number of students tested. It also provides a detailed breakdown of those students excluded from the exam. Note that this table includes all students according to grade level, even if they have been enrolled for less than one year.

2008-09 State Mathematics Exam Number of Students Tested and Not Tested

Grade	Total	1	Total		
Grade	Tested	IEP	ELL	Absent	Enrolled
3	44	0	0	0	44
4	25	0	0	0	25
5					
6					
7					
8					
All	69	0	0	0	69

Results

In AY 2008-2009 all of Girls Prep's third and fourth grade students were enrolled in at least their second year at Girls Prep. Moreover, none of these students were exempt from or absent during the test. This year 100% of Girls Prep third and fourth graders scored at or above level 3 on the New York State Mathematics exam.

Charter School Performance on 2008-09 State Mathematics Exam By All Students and Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year

Grade	Population		Percent at	Each Perfo	rmance Lev	el	Number
Grade		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 3/4	Tested
	All Students	0	0	63.6	36.4	100	44
3	Students in At Least 2 nd Year	0	0	63.6	36.4	<u>100</u>	44
	All Students	0	0	56	44	100	25
4	Students in At Least 2 nd Year	0	0	56	44	<u>100</u>	25
5	All Students						
3	Students in At Least 2 nd Year						
6	All Students						
U	Students in At Least 2 nd Year						
7	All Students						
/	Students in At Least 2 nd Year						
8	All Students						
	Students in At Least 2 nd Year						
	All Students	0	0	60.9	39.1	100	69
All	Students in At Least 2 nd Year	0	0	60.9	23	<u>100</u>	69

Evaluation

_

⁵ Students exempted from this exam according to their Individualized Education Program (IEP), because of English Language Learners (ELL) status, or absence for at least some part of the exam

In 2008-2009, 100% of Girls Prep students tested scored at or above Level 3 on the New York State Mathematics Exam; showcasing the effectiveness of our mathematics curriculum. Since more than 75% of our students were evaluated as either Proficient (Level 3) or Advanced Proficient (Level 4) in both school years, we have satisfied this measure. We are confident that these results reflect our increased focus on math. Our blend of programs (Saxon, Stern and Exemplars) ensures that all math standards are addressed, while our system of interim assessments ensures that students do not slip through the cracks in between State examinations. Additionally, our Instructional Coach, with the help of an AUSSIE consultant, carefully modifies and monitors math instruction in each classroom.

Additional Evidence

Girls Prep has administered the New York State Math exam to students in the third grade for two years and to fourth graders for one year. In both AY 2007-2008 and AY 2008-2009 100% of our students scored at or above level 3 on the exam. Our highly effective math curriculum which teaches our students to work independently when presented with a problem and build upon the skills they have acquired up to that point enables our students to approach the State exam with confidence and perform spectacularly on the assessment.

Mathematics Performance by Grade Level and School Year

	Percen	Percent of Students Enrolled in At Leas			st Their Second Year at Levels 3 and 4				
Grade	200	2005-06		2006-07		2007-08		2008-09	
	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested	
3					100	30	100	44	
4							100	25	
5									
6									
7									
8									
All					100	30	100	69	

Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, the school's aggregate Performance Index (PI) on the State mathematics exam will meet the Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.

Method

The federal No Child Left Behind law holds schools accountable for making annual yearly progress towards all students being proficient by the year 2013-14. As a result, the state sets an Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) each year to determine if schools are making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will ultimately be proficient in the state's

learning standards in Mathematics. To achieve this measure, all tested students must have a Performance Index (PI) value that equals or exceeds this year's Mathematics AMO, which for 2008-09 is 119. The PI is calculated by adding the sum of the percent of all tested students at Levels 2 through 4 with the sum of the percent of all tested students at Levels 3 and 4. Thus, the highest possible PI is 200.

Results

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system for 2008-2009 is 119. The goal is for the school's aggregate PI or Performance Index (PI) value to equal or exceed this year's Math AMO. The 2008-2009 Performance Index for Girls Prep is 200.

Calculation of 2008-09 Mathematics Performance Index (PI)

Grades	Percent of Students at Each Performance Level								Number
Grades	Level 1	Level 1 Leve		Level 2 Level 3		Level 4			Tested
3-4	0		0		61		39		69
	PI	=	0	+	61	+	39	=	100
				+	61	+	39	=	100
							PΙ	=	200

Evaluation

Our 2008-2009 PI of 200 exceeds the AMO by 81 points; therefore, we have not only met, but exceeded this measure.

Additional Evidence

In both years that Girls Prep administered the New York State Math exam, 100% of our students scored at or above level 3, giving our school a PI of 200 in both AY 2007-2008 and AY 2008-2009. Therefore, Girls Prep has exceeded the AMO in every year that we have tested students.

Mathematics Performance Index (PI) and Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) by School Year

Year	Grades Number		Percent of	Students at E	ance Level	PI	AMO	
1 Cai	Grades	Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	П	AMO
2005-06								86
2006-07								86
2007-08	3	30	0	0	77	23	200	102
2008-09	3-4	69	0	0	61	39	200	119

Goal 2: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year and performing at or above Level 3 on the state mathematics exam will be greater than that of all students in the same tested grades in the local school district.

Method

Tested students who were enrolled in at least their second year are compared to all tested students in the surrounding public school district. Comparisons are between the results for each grade in which the school had tested students and the results for the respective grades in the local school district, as well as between the total result of students in at least their second year at the school and the total result for the corresponding grades in the school district.

Results

All of the students tested were enrolled in at least their second year at Girls Prep. As shown by the chart below, 100% of our students scored at advanced or proficient levels on the New York State mathematics exam. In comparison, 88% of students in Community School District 1, where Girls Prep is located, met or exceeded the state standards.

2008-09 State Mathematics Exam Charter School and District Performance by Grade Level

	Percent of Students at Levels 3 and 4							
Grade		ool Students st 2 nd Year	All District Students					
	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested				
3	100	44	91.9	914				
4	100 25		82.8	846				
5								
6								
7								
8								
All	<u>100</u>	69	88	1760				

Evaluation

In AY 2008-2009, Girls Prep third and fourth grade students, who were all enrolled in at least their second year, outperformed their District 1 peers, thereby meeting this measure. In AY 2008-2009, the second year in which we administered the test to our third grade class, the Girls Prep third grade continued its exemplary success with 100% of students scoring at level 3 or 4 on the exam. Moreover, Girls Prep's initial fourth grade class bettered their District 1 peers by 17.2 percentage points. We believe that Girls Prep students were able to exceed the performance of other neighborhood students due to our use of a standards-aligned math curriculum, curriculum specialist, and regular interim assessments used to inform and supplement instruction.

Additional Evidence

In the two years that Girls Prep has administered the New York State Math exam, our students have outperformed their District 1 peers; in both AY 2007-2008 and AY 2008-2009 100% of our students have scored at or above level 3 on the exam. Although District one has increased its percentage of students who perform at or above level 3 on the exam, Girls Prep has maintained a level of success that District one has not yet equaled.

Mathematics Performance of Charter School and Local District by Grade Level and School Year

	Percent of Charter School Students at Levels 3 and 4 and Enrolled in At Least their Second Year Compared to Local District Students								
Grade	200	5-06	200	6-07	200	7-08	2008-09		
	Charter	Local	Charter	Local	Charter	Local	Charter	Local	
	School	District	School	District	School	District	School	District	
3					100	67.1	100	91.9	
4							100	82.8	
5									
6									
7									
8									
All					100	67.1	100	88	

2008-09 Math Performance of Girls Preparatory Charter School and Comparison Schools by Grade Level

	Percent o	Percent of Charter School Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year and All Students in Comparison Schools							
Grade	Girls	Girls Prep		PS 15		PS 188			
	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested	Percent	Number Tested			
		Tested		rested		rested			
3	100	44	88	33	71	42			
4	100	25	53	38	84	25			
All	100	69	69	71	76	67			

Goal 2: Comparative Measure

Each year, the school will exceed its predicted level of performance on the state mathematics exam by at least a small Effect Size (performing higher than expected to a small degree) according to a regression analysis controlling for students eligible for free lunch among all public schools in New York State.

Method

The Charter Schools Institute conducts a Comparative Performance Analysis, which compares the school's performance to demographically similar public schools state-wide. Regression analysis is used to control for the percentage of students eligible for free lunch among all public schools in New York State. The school's actual performance is then compared to the predicted performance of public schools with a similar free lunch percentage. The difference between the

school's actual and predicted performance, relative to other schools with similar free lunch statistics, produces an Effect Size. An Effect Size of 0.3 is considered performing higher than expected to a small degree, which is the requirement for achieving this measure. If 2008-09 results are not available, add this sentence: Given the timing of the state's release of poverty data, the 2008-09 analysis is not yet available. This report contains 2007-08 results, the most recent ones available.

Results

Given the timing of the state's release of poverty data, the 2008-09 analysis is not yet available. This report contains 2007-08 results, the most recent ones available.

In AY 2007-2008 Girls Prep administered the New York State Mathematics assessment to 30 3rd grade students. 100% of these students scored at or above level 3, giving us an Effect Size that was "[h]igher than expected to a large degree."

2007-08 Mathematics Comparative Performance by Grade Level

Grade	Percent Eligible for Free Lunch	Number Tested		of Students rels 3&4	Difference between Actual - and Predicted	Effect Size	
	rice Eulen		Actual	Predicted	- and Fredicted		
3							
4							
5							
6							
7	_						
8							
All	52.54	30	100	87.84	12.16	1.28	

School's Overall Comparative Performance:
Higher than expected to a large degree.

Evaluation

Our Effect Size for AY 2007-2008 exceeded the required .3 by .98; therefore, we have met this measure.

Additional Evidence

Since AY 2007-2008 was the first year in which Girls Prep administered New York State assessments to our students, we have no additional evidence to present at this time.

Goal 2: Growth Measure

Each year, each grade-level cohort will reduce by one-half the gap between the percent at or above Level 3 on the previous year's state mathematics exam and 75 percent at or above Level 3

on the current year's state mathematics exam. If a grade-level cohort exceeds 75 percent at or above Level 3 in the previous year, that cohort is expected to show at least an increase in the current year.

Method

This measure examines the change in performance of the same group of students from one year to the next and the progress they are making towards the absolute measure of 75 percent proficient. Each grade level cohort consists of those students who took the state exam in 2008-09 and also have a state exam score in 2007-08. It includes students who repeated the grade. Students who repeated the grade should be included in their current grade level cohort, not the cohort to which they previously belonged. The criterion for achieving this measure is for each grade-level cohort to halve the difference between the percentage of students proficient in 2007-08 and 75 percent proficient in 2008-09. If a cohort had already achieved 75 percent proficient in 2007-08, it is expected to show some positive growth in the subsequent year. In addition, the aggregate of all cohorts is examined to determine the growth of all students who took a state exam in both years.

Results

The table below shows what percentage of the cohort which comprises the current fourth grade scored at or above Level 3 each year that they took the New York State Mathematics Exam. We had only one cohort of students who had two years of New York State testing data. Since 100% of this cohort scored at or above level 3, their goal was to repeat such success, which they did in AY 2008-2009.

Cohort Growth on State Mathematics Exam from 2007-08 to 2008-09

Grade	Cohort Size	Perce 2007-08	Target Achieved		
4	25	100	Target 100	2008-09 100	Yes
5					
6					
7					
8					
All	25	100	100	100	Yes

Evaluation

Since 100% of the current fourth grade cohort scored at level 3 or 4 on the Math Exam in AY 2007-2008, there was no room for increase in the percent of students who passed the exam with a level three or four. Therefore, in AY 2008-2009, the same cohort needed to perform equally well on this year's Exam. Repeating their outstanding performance, this year 100% of the students scored at level 3 or level 4, satisfying this goal. This year we strove for an increase in the percentage of students who performed at level 4 on the exam. In AY 2007-2008 23% of this cohort scored at level 4. In AY 2008-2009 44% of this cohort scored at level 4, almost twice as much as in the previous year.

Additional Evidence

This was our first year having a cohort of students for whom two years of New York State testing data were available. This cohort met this measure, since in both years 100% of students who performed at or above level 3 on the New York State Math exam.

Cohort Performance on Mathematics Exam Since the Advent of the Grades 3-8 Testing Program by School Year

School Year	Cohort Grades	Number of Cohorts Meeting Target	Number of Cohorts
2006-07			
2007-08			
2008-09	3-4	1	1

Summary of the Mathematics Goal

This is the second year that Girls Preparatory Charter School of New York has had a 3rd grade cohort take the State mathematics exam. We are very pleased with the performance of our students on this assessment. We exceeded our goal in the Absolute Measure of 75% performing above Level 3 and 4. Our Performance Index was significantly higher than the Annual Measurable Objective and our students performed substantially above students in the same tested grades in all local school districts and the two most proximate to our school. Our performance on the New York State Math exam reflects the success of our research-based curriculum which enables our students to feel confident in their preparation and in their ability to analyze and solve problems.

Type	Measure	Outcome
Absolute	Each year, 75 percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year will perform at or above Level 3 on the New York State examination.	Achieved
Absolute	Each year, the school's aggregate Performance Index (PI) on the State exam will meet the Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) set forth in the state's NCLB accountability system.	Achieved
Comparative	Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year and performing at or above Level 3 on the State exam will be greater than that of all students in	Achieved
Comparative	the same tested grades in the local school district. Each year, the school will exceed its predicted level of performance on the State exam by at least a small Effect Size. Each year, each grade-level cohort will reduce by one-half the	Achieved
Growth	gap between the percent at or above Level 3 on the previous year's State exam and 75 percent at or above Level 3 on the current year's State exam. If a grade-level cohort exceeds 75 percent at or above Level 3 in the previous year, that cohort is expected to show at least an increase in the current year.	Achieved

Action Plan

There will be challenges to maintaining this level of performance next year. The fourth grade cohort next year is much larger at 44 students. There are also more students with Individual Educational Plans in that cohort. We have been working with these students many since Kindergarten and know their challenges well. We have also added an educational position to our third grade team who will be able to assist the two teachers who will be teaching third grade yet again this coming year. We plan on piloting the Envision program as part of our fourth grade curriculum in order to strengthen our student's ability to work independently on a variety of problems. Moreover, for kindergarten through third grade, we plan to continue using the blend of programs outlined earlier in order to maintain the level of success we have enjoyed these past two years. Our faculty and administrators also plan to maintain their practice of meeting to analyze both quantitative and qualitative data on our students in order to differentiate between mathematical ability levels and better meet our students' individual needs. The key to ensuring our student's success is in staying true to the State standards and ensuring that all of our students master each of the standards prior to the assessments.

SCIENCE

Goal 3: Science

GPCS students will demonstrate proficiency relevant to achievement in science.

Background

The Girls Prep Science curriculum is primarily based upon the interactive lessons in the Scott-Foresman and Measure Up programs. This hands-on approach helps all of our students solidify their knowledge of the material while catering to those scholars whose learning styles might be better suited for active participation. Girls Prep devotes two hours each week to the study of the sciences and each year students in grades K-4 learn about life science and general biology, physical sciences, environmental science, astronomy, basic chemistry, and geology; the material gets more sophisticated as the students mature. Indeed, by the end of fourth grade Girls Prep scholars have already begun to master the basics of cell and microbiology, as well as the classification of species; moreover, our curriculum also ensures that our students have an understanding of the scientific process.

Additionally, during each instructional period our students are separated into several smaller groups in order to maximize each student's amount of individual instruction as well as her participation in the hands-on experiments. Our students carry out various types of experiments each week that both illustrate that particular week's topic as well as building upon the skills they have learned during the rest of the academic year. Furthermore we have a specialist on staff who assists in the teaching of the students. Via our instructional model Girls Prep students learn to become diligent and attentive scientists with the skills to analyze and understand everyday phenomena.

Goal 3: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year will perform at or above Level 3 on the New York State science examination.

Method

The school administered the New York State Testing Program science assessment to students in 4th grade in spring 2009. Each student's raw score has been converted to a performance level and a grade-specific scaled score. The criterion for success on this measure requires students who have been enrolled in at least their second year (defined as enrolled by BEDS day of the previous school year) to score at Levels 3 or 4.

Results

This was the first year in which Girls Preparatory Charter School administered the New York State Science exam to students in the fourth grade. Our very successful results, 100% of our students scored at or above level 3 on the exam, testify to the value of our faculty and administrators' ability to develop a rigorous yet comprehensible curriculum.

Charter School Performance on 2008-09 State Science Exam By All Students and Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year

Grade	Population		Number				
Orace	ropulation	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	vel 3 Level 4	Level 3/4	Tested
1	All Students			32	68	100	25
4	Students in At Least 2 nd Year			32	68	<u>100</u>	25
0	All Students						
	Students in At Least 2 nd Year						

Evaluation

This year 100% of Girls Prep students scored at level 3 or 4 on the New York State Science exam. Girls Prep therefore met this measure of having at least 75% of our students score at or above level 3. We are also pleased that a vast majority of our students performed at level 4 (Advanced Proficient).

Additional Evidence

As this is the first year that Girls Prep has administered we have no additional evidence to present at this time.

Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year and performing at or above Level 3 on the State science exam will be greater than that of all students in the same tested grades in the local school district.

Method

Tested students who were enrolled in at least their second year are compared to all tested students in the surrounding public school district. Comparisons are between the results for each grade in which the school had tested students and the results for the respective grades in the local school district.

Results

At the time of the preparation of this report, the state and district level data for the New York State Science exam was not yet available.

Evaluation

See above explanation.

Additional Evidence

As this was the first year that Girls Preparatory Charter School administered the New York Science Assessment to our students we do not have any additional evidence to submit at this time.

Summary

In this first year of administering the New York State Science exam Girls Prep has met our absolute measure. At the time this report was prepared, the data needed to determine whether we met our comparative measure was not yet available. We believe that our success attests to our rigorous science curriculum which includes hands-on activities that give our students the opportunity to apply their knowledge to real-world experiments.

Type	Measure	Outcome
Absolute	Each year, 75 percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year will perform at or above Level 3 on	Achieved
	the New York State examination.	
	Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled	
Comparative	in at least their second year and performing at or above Level	NT/A
	3 on the State exam will be greater than that of all students in	N/A
	the same tested grades in the local school district	

Action Plan

In order to continue achieving this level of success, we plan on continuing to emphasize the hands-on activities included in our curriculum which cater to students' varied learning styles. By providing several opportunities for our students to learn and master the material, our students become proficient scholars with an understanding of a variety of scientific disciplines. We also administer one Science Interim Assessment during the school year which helps keep our faculty and administrators aware of each student's progress. This assessment ensures that each student has met the State Benchmarks and understood the requisite material prior to the assessment.

NCLB

Goal 5: NCLB

Under the state's NCLB accountability system, the school's Accountability Status will be "Good Standing" each year.

Goal 5: Absolute Measure

Under the state's NCLB accountability system, the school's Accountability Status will be "Good Standing" each year.

Method

Since *all* students are expected to meet the state's learning standards, the federal No Child Left Behind legislation stipulates that various sub-populations and demographic categories of students among all tested students must meet the state standard in and of themselves aside from the overall school results. New York, like all states, established a system for making these determinations for its public schools. Each year the state issues School Report Cards which indicate each school's status under the state's NCLB accountability system. For a school's status to be "Good Standing" it must not have failed to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for two consecutive years.

Results

Girls Prep was awarded a status of "Good Standing" for academic year 2008-2009.

Evaluation

This measure was met for AY 2008-2009. Furthermore, every year that Girls Prep has been in operation, we have been awarded a status of "Good Standing."

Additional Evidence

Since Girls Prep opened in AY 2005-2006 we have been awarded a status of Good Standing in that and each subsequent academic year.

NCLB Status by Year

Year	Status
2005-06	Good Standing
2006-07	Good Standing
2007-08	Good Standing
2008-09	Good Standing

APPENDIX B: OPTIONAL GOALS

The following sections are for optional goals; data tables are provided for commonly used optional measures.

Goal 6: Parent Satisfaction

Parents will express high satisfaction with the school.

Goal 6: Absolute Measure

Each year two-thirds of parents will demonstrate satisfaction with the school's program based on a parent satisfaction survey.

Method

The annual parent survey is distributed by teachers during the fall. Parents return surveys in their daughter's homework binders. Surveys are collected by the teachers and returned to the Director of Student and Family Affairs who combines and analyzes the data. The survey was developed in a collaborative effort by the Executive Director, the Principal, and the Director of Student and Family Affairs. Our survey remains constant year-to-year to track any changes in responses. The survey results are presented to every faculty member at the school, the Board of Trustees, and to parents.

All survey results are based on percentage of parents, not percentage of respondents.

Results

Parents responding to our survey expressed overwhelming satisfaction with Girls Prep. Eighty percent of Girls Prep families responded to this year's survey, again distributed following the Fall Term. We are especially thrilled to report that 99% of parents are satisfied with their daughter's academic progress and 100% of parents feel welcome at the school.

- 91% of parents gave the education their child is receiving a grade of A+ or A.
- 93% of parents gave the qualifications and performance of their child's teacher a grade of A+ or A.
- 98% of parents were satisfied with Girls Prep's ability to communicate and inform them of their child's progress and goings on at school.
- 91% of parents gave Girls Prep, overall, a grade of A+ or A.

Girls Prep parents who responded to the survey expressed tremendous satisfaction with every area of the school. They were especially enthusiastic about the Girls Prep's academic program and their relationship with classroom teachers. Parents believe that we have expectations for academics and behavior that are clear and ambitious. Ninety-five percent of parents said that they have/would recommend the school to another family.

2008-09 Parent Satisfaction Survey Responses

Number of Responses	Number of Families	Response Rate
175	219	80%

2008-09 Parent Satisfaction on Key Survey Results

	Percent of
	Respondents
Item	Satisfied
Evaluating their daughter's education with a grade of A+ or A	91%
Evaluating Girls Prep overall a grade of A+ or A	91%
Have/would you recommend Girls Prep to another family	95%
Evaluating the amount your child has learned/is learning with a grade of A+ or A	90%
Evaluating the amount of individualized attention your child receives with a grade of A+ or A	87%

Evaluation

Girls Prep parents who responded to the survey expressed tremendous satisfaction with every area of the school. They were especially enthusiastic about the Girls Prep's academic program and their relationship with classroom teachers. Parents believe that we have expectations for academics and behavior that are clear and ambitious. Moving forward, we are working on engaging parents through the main office and via the PTA. Next year, we plan to offer more parent workshops and more opportunities for parents to involve themselves at Girls Prep.

Charter School Student Attrition Rates* 2008-09

	2008-09	2007-08	2006-07	2005-06
Number of students leaving for lack of transportation	2	2	5	1
Number of students leaving for geographic reasons (e.g., out of state/district relocation)	3	6	8	1
Number of students leaving for more restrictive special education setting	0	2	0	2
Number of students leaving due to parental choice (e.g., school transfer closer to residence, local elementary school, parent convenience)	9	10	4	2
Number leaving for other reasons (undetermined)	0	0	2	14
Total number of students leaving.	14	20	19	20
Highest Number Enrolled (July 1 – June 30)	219	182	136	94
Total Percent Attrition	6%	11%	14%	21%

^{*}The discrepancy to be found between the numbers here and those submitted in previous years to the Charter Schools Institute may be attributable to the fact that, in previous years, we had only factored students leaving before the end of the school year in our attrition rate, while the numbers in this table include both students leaving our school mid-year as well as those not returning for the next academic term.

Charter School Teacher Attrition Rates 2008-09

	2008-09	2007-08	2006-07	2005-06
Number of Classroom Teachers	9	8	6	4
Number of Special Area Teachers	7	7	4	1
Total Number of Teachers	16	15	10	5
Total Number of Teachers Leaving	2	2	1	1
Total Percent Attrition	12.5%	13%	10%	20%

THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK SECONDARY AND CONTINUING EDUCATION ROOM 462, EDUCATION BUILDING ANNEX THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT PUBLIC SCHOOL CHOICE PROGRAMS OFFICE OF ELEMENTARY, MIDDLE, ALBANY, NEW YORK 12234

FOR THE SCHOOL YEAR ENDED 6/30/09 REPORT OF FISCAL PERFORMANCE CHARTER SCHOOL ANNUAL

Charter School Code: 310100860866

Charter School Name:	Girls Preparatory (Girls Preparatory Charter School of New York			
Contact Person:	Miriam Lewis Raccah	ah	Phone: 2	212-388-0241	
REVENUES				EXPENDITURES	. 701
STATE STORY			SALARIES	OTHER	TOTAL
A. SIAIE SOURCES	\$ 33,994	F. GENERAL ADMINISTRATION	\$ 112,783	\$ 105,257	\$ 218.040
B. FEDERAL SOURCES	129,764	G. INSTRUCTIONAL SUPERVISION	\$ 188,751	73,266	262.017
C. PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICTS		H. ALL OTHER INSTRUCTION	1.195.641	212 728	1 408 368
1. BASIC OPERATING REVENUES	2,725,341	I. PUPIL SERVICES	177 923	220 101	308 023
2. STATE AID-PUPILS WITH DISABILITIES	56,681	J. PUPILS WITH DISABILITIES	65 312	50 558	124 960
3. FED. AID-PUPILS WITH DISABILITIES	12,233	K. TRANSPORTATION	710,00	07.70	4 240
4. OTHER REV FROM PUB SCH DISTRICTS		L. COMMINITY SERVICE		0+7.4	047.4
D. ALL OTHER REVENUES	171 025	M ODED ATTOM & MARKENIANCE			1
E TOTAL BEARINGS TANAMAN AND SALES	CZC,111	IN. OI LINATION & IMPAINTENAINCE	•	8,701	8,701
E. TOTAL NEVENUES FROM ALL SOURCES	\$ 3,129,037		N. EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	BENEFITS	498,368

COMPLETED FORM SHOULD BE RETURNED PUBLIC SCHOOL CHOICE PROGRAMS NO LATER THAN AUGUST 3, 2009 TO: Room 462 - Education Building Annex State Education Department Albany, New York 12234

5.821

104,729

(R/S)

T. EXPENDITURES PER PUPIL

S. ENROLLMENT

3,033,178

R. GRAND TOTAL EXPENDITURES

Q. CAPITAL EXPENSE P. SCHOOL LUNCH O. DEBT SERVICE

Chief School Officer

Date:

	A		В
1	Girls Prep	•	
	Profit & Loss		
2			
3	July 2008 - June 2009		
4			Total
5 6	luna ma	_	Total
7	Income Revenue		
8	40000 Per Pupil District Funding		2,725,340.52
9	40300 NYC Variable Cost Grants- DYCD		17,595.00
10	40500 Federal Title I Funding		119,254.00
11	40510 Federal Title II Funding		10,510.00
12	40600 NYSTL, NYSSL, NYSLIBL		16,399.00
13	40700 Per Pupil Special Edu Funding		56,680.90
14	40901 After School Revenue		-1,775.00
15	40902 IDEA (Special Ed.)		12,233.00
16	41000 Private and Government Grants		12,200.00
17	41020 Foundation Grants		127,775.00
18	41030 Individual Donations		9,877.37
19	41040 Board Contributions		20,000.00
20	41050 Other Grants		10,070.00
21	Total 41000 Private and Government Grants	\$	167,722.37
22	49000 Other Income	•	, -
23	49010 Interest Income		5,077.62
24	Total 49000 Other Income	\$	5,077.62
25	Total Revenue		3,129,037.41
26	Total Income		3,129,037.41
27	Expenses		, ,
28	49050 Accrued Expenses /Accounts Payable 08-09		
29	Direct Educational Expenses		
30	53000 Textbooks & Other Curricula Mat		31,550.32
31	53010 Library Books		20,542.00
32	53015 NYSTL, NYSSL, NYSLIBL		16,399.00
33	53020 Standardized Testing		7,416.96
34	53030 Class Room Supplies		38,142.63
35	53040 Art Supplies		5,722.72
36	53050 Music Supplies		730.00
37	53060 Field Trips		5,947.75
38	53070 Special Education Services		23,492.68
39	53080 Federal Lunch Program		2,698.50
40	53090 Student Snack	_	3,122.79
41	Total Direct Educational Expenses	\$	155,765.35
42	Facility Related Expenses		a =====
43	58000 Facility Expenses	_	8,700.80
44	Total Facility Related Expenses	\$	8,700.80
45 46	General & Administrative		E2 600 00
46	54040 Recruiting & Marketing		53,628.62
48	55000 Postage and Delivery		5,328.62
49	55010 Office Supplies 55020 Insurance - Comm, Student		19,121.83 27,958.04
50	55025 Insurance - Comm, Student 55025 Insurance - Dir & Officers		430.08
51	55030 Board Related		517.54
52	55050 Board Related 55050 Telephone and Internet		8,116.51
53	55060 Expense Contingency		0,110.51
55	OOOOO Expense Contingency		

	A		В
54	55063 Bank Service Charges	<u> </u>	164.24
55	55064 Credit Card Fees		149.67
56	55065 Loan Fees		737.68
57	55068 Temporary Aids		282.75
58	Total 55060 Expense Contingency	<u>-</u>	1,334.34
59	55070 Local Travel		4,240.33
60	55080 Food & Hospitality		14,301.12
61	55090 Family Programs		4,628.19
62	55095 Contribution Expense		0.00
63	Total General & Administrative	\$	139,605.22
64	Institutional Services		
65	54020 Professional Development		41,795.20
66	54025 Tuition Reimbursement		12,075.00
67	54030 Music & Literacy Contracted		55,510.00
68	54060 Counseling		36,064.84
69	54070 Tutoring		10,167.99
70	54091 Assessment and Curr. Cons.		4,600.00
71	Total Institutional Services	\$	•
72	Non-Capitalized Expenses		·
73	57000 Classroom Furniture		1,950.72
74	57010 Non- Class Room Furniture		2,461.67
75	57030 Telecommunications Equipment		1,400.19
76	57040 Computer Network Installation		1,329.50
77	57050 Office/Staff Computer Hardware		1,229.34
78	57060 Classroom Comp. Hardware/Softwa		1,929.44
79	57070 Other Equipment		4,804.32
80	59000 Depreciation Expense		48,479.98
81	Total Non-Capitalized Expenses	<u>-</u>	•
82	Personnel Expense	•	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
83	Fringe Benefits		
84	52000 Retirement		74,514.49
85	52010 Health Insurance		176,351.02
86	52020 FICA, SS, Medicare, Payroll Tx		156,909.38
87	52030 Disability and Workers Comp Insurance		-1,982.32
88	52050 Transitchek/FSA		2,694.64
89	52060 Employee Bonuses		89,880.88
90	Total Fringe Benefits	\$	-
91	Full Time Salary Expense	•	,
92	50000 Executive Director		43,333.37
93	50010 School Principal		116,461.46
94	50020 Dir. of Finance and Operations		69,450.00
95	50030 Administrative Associate		33,004.10
96	50031 Office Manager		46,110.27
97	50035 Operations Manager		12,500.00
98	50040 Classroom Teachers		555,035.13
99	50050 Reading Specialist		69,972.73
100	50060 Special Education Teachers		65,311.92
101	50070 Fellows		243,828.08
102	50080 Dir. of Student and Family		72,289.31
103	50091 Yoga Teacher		52,455.96
104	50092 Math Specialist		65,311.91
105	50093 Counselor		65,249.93
106	50094 Phys Ed		56,241.75
107	50095 Art Teacher		58,616.00
لنت			,

	A		В
108	50096 School Aides		82,104.37
109	Total Full Time Salary Expense	\$	1,707,276.29
110	Part Time Salary Expense		
111	50090 Interns		3,444.40
112	51011 School Aides		759.50
113	51020 Second Language Teacher		26,932.52
114	51040 Per Session Teachers		1,276.50
115	51050 Phys. Ed. Teacher		720.00
116	Total Part Time Salary Expense	\$	33,132.92
117	Total Personnel Expense	\$	2,238,777.30
118	Professional Services		
119	56000 Legal Expense		900.42
120	56005 Bookkeeping		238.59
121			55,204.00
122			11,458.83
123			10,055.38
124	56040 Other Consultants/Dues 30,760		30,766.18
125			149.00
126	Total 56040 Other Consultants/Dues	\$	30,915.18
127	56050 Professional Development for Ad		9,951.13
128	56060 Payroll Service		4,423.61
129	Total Professional Services	\$	123,147.14
130	Total 49050 Accrued Expenses /Accounts Payable 08-09	\$	2,889,794.00
131	After School Program		
132	54079 After School Expense		38,654.73
133	54082 After School Contracted Srvc		
134	Total After School Program	\$	38,654.73
135	Total Expenses	\$	2,928,448.73
136	Net Income	\$	200,588.68

N	ame (print)	Saran Robertson
Na	nme of Charter School	Girls Preparatory Charter School of New York
Cł	narter Entity	Charter School Institute
H	ome Address	•
Bu	siness Address_	
Da	ytime Phone	
E-	Mail Address	
1.	Chair	on board (e.g., chair, treasurer, parent representative):
2,	Is the trustee an employ	yee of the School?Yes _X_No
3.	responsibilities, your sa	ease provide a description of the position you hold and your alary and your start date.
4.	Is the trustee an employ	yee or agent of the management company?YesXNo
5.	Is the trustee an employ	yee or agent of any institutional partner of the School?Yes

<u>Date(s)</u>	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps taken to avoid a conflict of interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion)	Name of person holding interest or engaging in transaction and relationship to yourself
None	NA	NA	NA
	•	•	

Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the School <u>and</u> in which such entity, during the time of your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member or person living in your house had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the School that is doing business with the School through a management or services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such organization and the School that is pursuant to such agreement. Instead, please identify only the name of the organization, your position in the organization as well as the relationship between such organization and the school. If there was no financial interest, write **none**.

Organization Conducting Business with the School	Nature of Business Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business Conducted	Name of Trustee/ Immediate Family/Member of Household Holding an Interest in the Organization Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest
None	NA	NA	NA

N	ame (print)	Lauren	<u> Frank</u>		: technological (1990)		
Na	nme of Charter School_	Girls Pre	paratory Cl	harter Sc	hool of N	ew York	
Cł	narter Entity	Charter	School Inst	itute		•	
Ho	ome Address						
Bu	siness Address						
	nytime Phone Mail <u>Address</u>						
	List all positions representative):						
2.	Is the trustee an employ	ee of the Scho	ool?Y	es <u>X</u> N	0		
3.	If you checked Yes , ple responsibilities, your sa <u>NA</u>	lary and your	start date.	•	·	•	
		***************************************	······································	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
4.	Is the trustee an employ	ee or agent of	the manage	ement con	npany?	YesX_	_No
5.	Is the trustee an employ	ee or agent of	any institut	ional part	tner of the	School?	Yes

<u>Date(s)</u>	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps taken to avoid a conflict of interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion)	Name of person holding interest or engaging in transaction and relationship to yourself
None	. NA	NA	NA
	•	,	

Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the School and in which such entity, during the time of your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member or person living in your house had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the School that is doing business with the School through a management or services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such organization and the School that is pursuant to such agreement. Instead, please identify only the name of the organization, your position in the organization as well as the relationship between such organization and the school. If there was no financial interest, write none.

Organization Conducting Business with the School	Nature of Business Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business Conducted	Name of Trustee/ Immediate Family/Member of Household Holding an Interest in the Organization Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest
None	. NA	NA	

Signature Date

JOSHUA DONOVAN LISTON
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 02LIG181965
Oualified in New York County
Commission Expires February 19, 20/2

Ν	lame (print)	Bryan Lawrence	
Na	nme of Charter School_	Girls Preparatory Charter School of New York	
Cł	narter Entity	Charter School Institute	
H	ome Address		
Bu	siness Address		
	nytime Phone Mail <u>Address</u>		
	representative):	s held on board (e.g., chair, treasurer, parer) t -
2.	Is the trustee an employ	yee of the School?Yes _X_No	
3.	responsibilities, your sa	ease provide a description of the position you hold and your alary and your start date.	
	***************************************		-
	LANGE CONTRACTOR CONTR		
4.	Is the trustee an employ	yee or agent of the management company?YesXNo	
5,.	Is the trustee an employ	yee or agent of any institutional partner of the School?Yes	

Date(s)	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps taken to avoid a conflict of interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion)	Name of person holding interest or engaging in transaction and relationship to yourself
None	NA ·	NA	. NA

Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the School <u>and</u> in which such entity, during the time of your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member or person living in your house had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the School that is doing business with the School through a management or services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such organization and the School that is pursuant to such agreement. Instead, please identify only the name of the organization, your position in the organization as well as the relationship between such organization and the school. If there was no financial interest, write **none**.

Organization Conducting Business with the School	Nature of Business · Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business Conducted	Name of Trustee/ Immediate Family/Member of Household Holding an Interest in the Organization Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest
None	NA	NA	NA
		-	

Signature Date

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 28th day of Juy, 2009

JOSHUA DONOVAN LISTON
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 02U6181965
Qualified in New York County
Commission Expires February 19, 201

Ν	lame (print)	Kay Miller	nimina na n	
Na	ame of Charter School_	Girls Preparatory Cha	rter School of New York	
Cł	harter Entity	Charter School Institu	ute	
Ho	ome Address			
Βι	nsiness Address			
	aytime Phone Mail <u>Address</u>			
1.	representative):		(e.g., chair, treasurer, p	paren
2.	Is the trustee an employ	ree of the School?Yes	_X_No	
3.	responsibilities, your sa	ase provide a description of lary and your start date.	the position you hold and your	
4.	Is the trustee an employ	ee or agent of the managem	ent company?YesX_N	0
5.	Is the trustee an employ	ee or agent of any institution	nal partner of the School?Yes	6

Date(s)	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps taken to avoid a conflict of interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion)	Name of person holding interest or engaging in transaction and relationship to yourself
None	. NA	NA	NA

Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the School <u>and</u> in which such entity, during the time of your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member or person living in your house had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the School that is doing business with the School through a management or services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such organization and the School that is pursuant to such agreement. Instead, please identify only the name of the organization, your position in the organization as well as the relationship between such organization and the school. If there was no financial interest, write **none**.

Organization Conducting Business with the School	Nature of Business Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business Conducted	Name of Trustee/ Immediate Family/Member of Household Holding an Interest in the Organization Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest
None	NA	NA	NA
~			•

Signature Date

Subscribed and sworn to before me this _____ day of _____, 20_0.9

JOSHUA DONOVAN LISTON
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 02Ll6181965
Qualified in New York County
Commission Expires February 19, 20

Λ	Name (print) <u>Ma</u>	ary Mitchell	
Na	Name of Charter School C	Girls Preparatory Charter School of New Yor	<u>k</u> _
Cl	Charter Entity	Charter School Institute	·
H	Home Address		
Βι	Business Address		
	Daytime Phone E-Mail <u>Address</u>		AAA Ayaraanaanaana
1.	List all positions held on boa	ard (e.g., chair, treasurer, parent representative):	Vice-Chair
2.	2. Is the trustee an employee of	f the School?Yes _X_No	
3.	responsibilities, your salary	orovide a description of the position you hold and and your start date.	d your

4.	Is the trustee an employee or	agent of the management company?Yes_	_X_No
5.	i. Is the trustee an employee or X_No	agent of any institutional partner of the School	?Yes

Date(s)	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps taken to avoid a conflict of interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion)	Name of person holding interest or engaging in transaction and relationship to yourself
None	NA	NA	NA

Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the School and in which such entity, during the time of your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member or person living in your house had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the School that is doing business with the School through a management or services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such organization and the School that is pursuant to such agreement. Instead, please identify only the name of the organization, your position in the organization as well as the relationship between such organization and the school. If there was no financial interest, write none.

Organization Conducting Business with the School	Nature of Business Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business Conducted	Name of Trustee/ Immediate Family/Member of Household Holding an Interest in the Organization Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest
None	NA	NA	NA NA
			•

Signature Date

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 25th day of Juy, 2009

IOSHUA DONOVAN LISTON
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 02116181965
Qualified in New York County
Commission Expires February 19, 2012

N	ame (print)	Degra Polite
Na	nme of Charter School_	Girls Preparatory Charter School of New York
Cl	narter Entity	Charter School Institute
Н	ome Address	
Βι	ısiness Address_	
	aytime Phone Mail <u>Address</u>	
1.	List all positions held of Parent Representative	on board (e.g., chair, treasurer, parent representative):
2.	Is the trustee an employ	yee of the School?Yes _X_No .
3.	responsibilities, your sa	ease provide a description of the position you hold and your alary and your start date.
4.	Is the trustee an employ	yee or agent of the management company?Yes _X_No
5.	Is the trustee an employ _X_No	yee or agent of any institutional partner of the School?Yes

Date(s) Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction Steps taken to avoid a conflict of interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion)	holding interest or engaging in transaction and relationship to yourself
None NA NA	NA

Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the School <u>and</u> in which such entity, during the time of your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member or person living in your house had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the School that is doing business with the School through a management or services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such organization and the School that is pursuant to such agreement. Instead, please identify only the name of the organization, your position in the organization as well as the relationship between such organization and the school. If there was no financial interest, write **none**.

Organization Conducting Business with the School	Nature of Business Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business Conducted	Name of Trustee/ Immediate Family/Member of Household Holding an Interest in the Organization Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest
None	NA	NA	NA
	*		•

Signature			Date
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	280	day of Jy, 20	09

JOSHUA DONOVAN LISTON
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 02Ll6181965
Qualified in New York County
Commission Expires February 19, 2012

Ν	ame (print)	Kim Richardson
Na	ame of Charter School_	Girls Preparatory Charter School of New York
CI	narter Entity	Charter School Institute
Н	ome Address	
Βι	isiness Address_	
	nytime Phone Mail <u>Address</u>	
1.	•	on board (e.g., chair, treasurer, parent representative):
2.	Is the trustee an employ	vee of the School?Yes _X_No
3.	responsibilities, your sa	ease provide a description of the position you hold and your lary and your start date.
4.	Is the trustee an employ	vee or agent of the management company?YesX_No
5.	Is the trustee an employ	ee or agent of any institutional partner of the School?Yes

	<u>Date(s)</u>	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps taken to avoid a conflict of interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion)	Name of person holding interest or engaging in transaction and relationship to yourself
	None	NA	NA	NA
***************************************			•	

Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the School <u>and</u> in which such entity, during the time of your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member or person living in your house had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the School that is doing business with the School through a management or services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such organization and the School that is pursuant to such agreement. Instead, please identify only the name of the organization, your position in the organization as well as the relationship between such organization and the school. If there was no financial interest, write **none**.

Organization Conducting Business with the School	Nature of Business Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business Conducted	Name of Trustee/ Immediate Family/Member of Household Holding an Interest in the Organization Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest
None	NA	NA	NA
		*	2 (32

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 28th day of 37, 2009.

JOSHUA DONOVAN LISTON
Notary Public, State of New York
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 02Ll6181965
Qualified in New York County
Commission Expires February 19, 2014

N	ame (print)	Nicole Pullen Ross
Na	ame of Charter School_	Girls Preparatory Charter School of New York
CI	narter Entity	Charter School Institute
He	ome Address	•
Βι	usiness Address	
	aytime Phone Mail <u>Address</u>	
1.		n board (e.g., chair, treasurer, parent representative): <u>Treasurer</u>
2.	Is the trustee an employ	vee of the School?Yes _X_No
3.	responsibilities, your sa	ease provide a description of the position you hold and your lary and your start date.
4.	Is the trustee an employ	ree or agent of the management company?YesXNo
5.	Is the trustee an employ	ree or agent of any institutional partner of the School?Yes

<u>Date(s)</u>	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps taken to avoid a conflict of interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion)	Name of person holding interest or engaging in transaction and relationship to yourself
None	NA	NA	NA
		•	

Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the School <u>and</u> in which such entity, during the time of your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member or person living in your house had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the School that is doing business with the School through a management or services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such organization and the School that is pursuant to such agreement. Instead, please identify only the name of the organization, your position in the organization as well as the relationship between such organization and the school. If there was no financial interest, write **none**.

Organization Conducting Business with the School	Nature of Business Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business Conducted	Name of Trustee/ Immediate Family/Member of Household Holding an Interest in the Organization Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest
None	NA	NA	NA

Signature Date

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 28^h day of y, 20^o

JOSHUA DONOVAN LISTON
Stote of New York

Notary State of New 101
91965
Qualifies County
Commission Exp...

JOSHUA DONOVAN LISTON
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 02Ll6181965
Qualified in New York County
Commission Expires February 19, 20

Name (print)	Mary Claire Ryan
Name of Charter Schoo	I Girls Preparatory Charter School of New York
Charter Entity	Charter School Institute
Home Address	
Business Address_	
Daytime Phone E-Mail <u>Address</u>	
1. List all positions held	on board (e.g., chair, treasurer, parent representative):
2. Is the trustee an empl	oyee of the School?Yes _X_No
responsibilities, your	lease provide a description of the position you hold and your salary and your start date.
4. Is the trustee an emplo	oyee or agent of the management company?Yes _X_No
5. Is the trustee an emplo	oyee or agent of any institutional partner of the School?Yes

<u>Date(s)</u>	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps taken to avoid a conflict of interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion)	Name of person holding interest or engaging in transaction and relationship to yourself
None	· NA	NA	NA

Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the School and in which such entity, during the time of your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member or person living in your house had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the School that is doing business with the School through a management or services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such organization and the School that is pursuant to such agreement. Instead, please identify only the name of the organization, your position in the organization as well as the relationship between such organization and the school. If there was no financial interest, write none.

Cor Busi	ganization nducting iness with e School	Nature of Business Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business Conducted	Name of Trustee/ Immediate Family/Member of Household Holding an Interest in the Organization Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest
	None	NA	NA	NA .
	-			

Signature

Date

Subscribed and sworn to before me this ______ day of ______, 2009

Notary Public, State of New York
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 02Ll6181965
No. 02Ll6181965
Qualified in New York County
Commission Expires February 19, 20

Ν	lame (print)	Paul Vermylen	
Na	ame of Charter School	Girls Preparatory Charter School of New York	
CI	narter Entity	Charter School Institute	
H	ome Address		
Вı	ısiness Address_		
	aytime Phone Mail <u>Address</u>		
1.		on board (e.g., chair, treasurer, parent representative):	

2.	Is the trustee an emplo	oyee of the School?Yes _X_No	
3.	responsibilities, your s	lease provide a description of the position you hold and your salary and your start date.	
			
4.	Is the trustee an emplo	oyee or agent of the management company?Yes _ XNo	ı
5.	Is the trustee an emplo	oyee or agent of any institutional partner of the School?Yes	

Date(s)	Nature of Financial Interest/Transaction	Steps taken to avoid a conflict of interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion)	Name of person holding interest or engaging in transaction and relationship to yourself
None	NA .	NA	NA

Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the School and in which such entity, during the time of your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member or person living in your house had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the School that is doing business with the School through a management or services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such organization and the School that is pursuant to such agreement. Instead, please identify only the name of the organization, your position in the organization as well as the relationship between such organization and the school. If there was no financial interest, write none.

Organization Conducting Business with the School	Nature of Business Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business Conducted	Name of Trustee/ Immediate Family/Member of Household Holding an Interest in the Organization Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest
None	NA	NA	NA NA

Signature Date

Subscribed and sworn to before me this ______ day of ______, 20_____

JOSHUA DONOVAN LISTON
Notary Public, State of New York
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 02Ll6181965
Qualified in New York County
Commission Expires February 19, 20 []

N	iame (print)Laura vveii	
Na	ame of Charter School Girls Preparatory Charter School of New York	
Cl	harter Entity Charter School Institute	
H	ome Address	
Da	aytime Phone Mail Address	
1.	List all positions held on board (e.g., chair, treasurer, parer representative):	11
2.	Is the trustee an employee of the School?Yes _X_No .	
3.	If you checked Yes, please provide a description of the position you hold and your responsibilities, your salary and your start date. NA	_
4.	Is the trustee an employee or agent of the management company?YesXNo	
5.	Is the trustee an employee or agent of any institutional partner of the School?Yes	

Date(s)	ture of Financial erest/Transaction	Steps taken to avoid a conflict of interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion)	Name of person holding interest or engaging in transaction and relationship to yourself
None	NA	NA	NA

Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the School <u>and</u> in which such entity, during the time of your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member or person living in your house had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the School that is doing business with the School through a management or services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such organization and the School that is pursuant to such agreement. Instead, please identify only the name of the organization, your position in the organization as well as the relationship between such organization and the school. If there was no financial interest, write **none**.

	Organization Conducting Business with the School	Nature of Business Conducted	Approximate Value of the Business Conducted	Name of Trustee/ Immediate Family/Member of Household Holding an Interest in the Organization Conducting Business with the School and the Nature of the Interest
3	None	NA	NA	NA
		a	Ti di	

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 38th day of 34, 2009

NOSHUA DOSHUA DO

JOSHUA DONOVAN LISTON
Notary Public, State of New York
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 02L16181965
No. 02L16181965
Qualified in New York County
Commission Expires February 19, 20

Statement of Assurances

Our signatures below attest that all of the information contained herein is truthful and accurate, and that this charter school is in compliance with all aspects of its charter, and with all pertinent Federal, State, and local laws, regulations, and rules. We understand that if any information in any part of this report is found to have been deliberately misrepresented, that will constitute grounds for the revocation of our charter.

Print Name, Head of Charter School Signature and Date
Subscribed and sworn to before me this
Notary Public JOSHUA DONOVAN LISTON Notary Public State of New York Notary Public State of New York Notary Public State of New York Option 19 New York County Qualified in New York County Commission Expires February 19, 20 Commission Expires February 19, 20
Saran Robertson Print Name, President, Board of Trustees Signature and Date Signature and Date

Subscribed and sworn to before me this ____319r day of _____, 20_9.

Notary Public

JOSHUA DONOVAN LISTON
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 02LI6181965
Qualified in New York County
Commission Expires February 19, 20

00